

# EREC P28 DNO Workgroup

**MINUTES** 

Meeting – Friday 5<sup>th</sup> May 2023, 10:00 – 12:00 MS Teams Meeting

ATTENDEES	INITIAL	COMPANY
Chris McCann	СМс	ENA
Simon Scarbro	SS	NGED
Forooz Ghassemi	FG	NGET
Joseph Western	JW	NPg
Milana Plecas	MP	SPEN
Saad Khan	SK	GTC
Gary Eastwood	GE	Threepwood (ENA)

## APOLOGIES

Peter Twomey	РТ	ENWL
Zivanayi Musanhi	ZM	UKPN

Registered office Energy Networks Association Limited, 4 More London Riverside, London SE1 2AU t. +44 (0)20 7706 5100 e. info@energynetworks.org energynetworks.org

	ES AND ACTIONS	LEAD	
Agenda item 1	. Welcome and acceptance of agenda	СМс	
Members were welcomed to the meeting and agreed the meeting agenda items.			
Actions	None		
Agenda item 2	2. Review of previous actions	СМс	
	e previous meeting were reviewed and the progress/result of each discusse ompleted actions were then closed.	d by the	
Actions	None		
Agenda item 3	S. Steps caused by frequency variations	All	
installations an be caused by in service equipm suspected of ca taken place. The group disc (Dynamic Cont recorded for dy had been recor discussed if the this topic could proposed. Current recordi raised question	rrent variations. the group then discussed how these variations are experiend if these are a result of frequency response services. It was muted that the happropriate settings being used at the power park controllers (PPCs) on the ent. SS informed the group that a meeting is planned with the developer of the ausing the local variations and will provide an update to the group once this ussed the effects of frequency variations and ramp rates against 3 types of a ainment, Dynamic Monitoring and Dynamic Regulation) discussions focused the addition, and the group requested clarity on the point on the networded (and if the results were inclusive of the associated transformer). The mean data is typical of observations across the wider distribution system? A DNC be beneficial to understanding and could be used to form part of the guidant of the group that time intervals, which doesn't provide adequates over how often response service sites are receiving data from the grid before the time intervals the provide adequation.	se affects could e response the site meeting has dynamic service d on data rk where this dat embers then D led study on ce document tte accuracy, this ore they	
measurement of	t what time intervals these responses are being registered and recorded. Ph data held by National Grid would be useful in determining this, SS stated he a, the members asked that this data can be shared with the workgroup to as	may be able to	
be correctly pro Further work re	ing of PPCs was discussed and it was agreed that all PPCs connected to the ogrammed so as to reduce any unintended consequences observed at the c equired to assess how these devices can be set dependant on the location o ed to be connected.	onnection point.	
Action	Provide update post meeting with developer of 11kV connection cited		
4.1	Q3 2023	SS	
Action 4.2	Provide network frequency data to WG members	SS	



CMc

#### Agenda item 4.

### Approach to drafting

As stakeholders have raised concerns over a perceived lack of clarity in EREC P28. the group should primarily focus on providing response statements, then make use of any outcomes from network studies looking into the recorded effects of frequency variations observed across the networks to develop guidance material for a planned EREP document.

It was proposed for each DNO to conduct a study of their own networks, this will provide data sources from each network company which can be used to develop guidance text in drafting the Engineering Report.

#### Review of proposed sections

An early draft of possible sections within the EREP was reviewed, it was agreed that no reference should be made in section titles to 'requirements' as this could be misconstrued as setting out the requirements, which is not the role of the EREP, but rather to provide clarity to the reader.

A section covering customer compliance with application assessments should be considered to set out how a customer / developer to meet the current requirements of EREC P28.

#### General discussion

The general approach to drafting was discussed. It was proposed that network frequency studies be conducted, and source data from these studies be used to develop the EREP. This will become clear once these studies on network frequency variations have concluded.

#### Stakeholder engagement

CMc confirmed plans to engage with stakeholders once the EREP reaches drafting stage. This will now take place post any DNO network studies to be carried out.

It was agreed that the workgroup will provide responses to stakeholder issues raised through ENA. CMc will collate these views and share with the workgroup for their views on each.

Action	Produce collated stakeholder comments on EREC P28	
4.3	19/05/23	СМс
Action	Provide initial feedback to stakeholder comments raised	
4.4	02/06/23	ALL
Agenda item	5. Next steps	CMc

it was proposed that DNOs carry out network studies on frequency variation data and reporting measurement timeframes. All DNO representative present indicated their support for a study to assess these affects. The next step will be to gather consensus will need to be obtained from the remaining DNOs not present at the meeting regarding a network study to characterise the network frequency changes and identify its components of changes over a defined period of time.

Responses will be formed to collated Stakeholder views on a perceived lack of clarity in certain areas of EREC P28. This will then be relayed to stakeholders – CMc proposed holding a workshop with stakeholders at the appropriate time.

An EREP document will be drafted utilising the results from any network studies conducted, this once first draft has been reached will include views from industry.



#### Action Contact remaining DNOs on proposed network study 4.5 **Next Meeting** CMc CMc Agenda item 6. AOB None raised. **Actions** None CMc Agenda item 7. **Next meeting** Members agreed the next meeting will be held 7<sup>th</sup> June, CMc to circulate invites. Actions None

# **ACTIONS LIST**

1.1	Propose nominations for workgroup chair <b>Ongoing</b>	ALL
3.3	Engage with Stakeholders at the next ENA led BESS workgroup Q3 2023	СМс
4.1	Provide update post meeting with developer of 11kV connection cited <b>Q3 2023 –</b> ✓	SS
4.2	Provide network frequency data to WG members Next Meeting – ✓	SS
4.3	Produce collated stakeholder comments on EREC P28 <b>19/05/23 – √</b>	СМс
4.4	Provide initial feedback to stakeholder comments raised <b>02/06/23 –</b> ✓	ALL
4.5	Contact remaining DNOs on proposed network study <b>Next Meeting –</b> ✓	СМс